PLS 3rd Learning
Educators elevated.®

Educating the Net-Generation™ Online

Course Description

Today's digital natives (commonly referred to as the **Net-Generation**) value technology, experiential learning, working in teams, and social networking. This course examines the learning styles, expectations, and technical acumen of the Net-Generation and explains their implications for classroom learning environments. During the course, participants will learn the key differences between the generations and discover how to bridge those differences through sound instructional techniques. Participants will also learn how to leverage various technologies familiar to these students in order to create pedagogy that meets Net-Generation needs and transfers knowledge from teacher to student.

Course Alignments

This PLS 3rd Learning course is aligned to Charlotte Danielson's **Framework for Teaching:**

Domain 1 – 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, and 1E
Domain 2 – 2A, 2B, and 2D
Domain 3 – 3A, 3B, 3C, and 3E
Domain 4 – 4A, 4D, 4E, and 4F

Course Outcomes

Upon completion of this class, the learner will be able to:

1. Evaluate the characteristics and key educational/cultural influences of the Net-Generation with those of previous generations.
2. Discuss why and how instructional approaches need to change to effectively engage and educate the Net-Generation.
3. Adapt a current unit plan to address the Net-Generation's learning needs.
4. Build a classroom website, using wiki technology, to relate information to students, parents, and peers.
5. Describe potential negative reactions and obstacles to technology in the classroom and develop a plan for implementing methods for overcoming those obstacles.
6. Interpret how various technologies can be used in the classroom to facilitate learning.
7. Develop learning activities related to academic content and standards that incorporate various technologies.
8. Explain the benefits of utilizing game mechanics in education and how video games can be incorporated into learning activities.

9. Analyze the benefits of student-created content.
10. Compare and contrast a teacher-centric classroom with a peer-centric classroom.
11. Develop a plan for fostering peer-to-peer learning to encourage peer-centric learning experiences within the classroom.
12. Discuss and evaluate some of the newer learning technologies that may soon be entering into classroom environments.
13. Create nontechnical activities that leverage the Net-Generation's general learning characteristics.
14. Generalize course content to reflect how the multicultural, special needs and gifted, and other diverse populations within classrooms have their needs met by the application of the skills, strategies, and knowledge gained in this course.
15. Reflect continuously on personal expertise, using the knowledge and skills associated with this course, and use these insights actively as the basis for ongoing professional growth.
16. Work collaboratively to share knowledge, skills, and experiences; refine understanding of content; give and receive feedback; and improve expertise.

Required Text

There is no formal textbook requirement for this course. Instructors and learners will use instructor-generated materials, learner-generated materials, and Web-based resources to facilitate learning.

Topical Outline	List of Concepts
Generational Traits and Education Overview	Overview of generational levels; traits of digital immigrants and digital natives; technology used commonly across the generations; characteristics of Boomer-centric and Net-Generation schools; critical nature of the gaps in learning styles between generations; methods for bridging the gap between generations
Overcoming Obstacles to Change	Change management for technological adoption; definition of *Digital Divide;* suggestions for bridging Digital Divide; methods to engage girls in technology, overview of Internet safety concerns
Evolving Roles	Detailed review of roles and responsibilities of students, teachers, parents, administration, and others within the structure of 21st century education

Teaching Methods	Determining classroom outcomes and selecting methods to reach goals; overview of the role of differentiated instruction; review of transitioning to the role of learning coach
Genuine Learning	Definition and examples of authentic learning experiences; overview of hybrid learning and flipped classrooms; explanation and application examples of self-directed learning
Game On	Definition of gaming/gamers; overview of types of games; how games are being applied to learning experiences
Interactive Education	Overview of the semantic web and its impact on education; exploration of usage and educational applications of wikis, blogs, and multimedia
Social Learning	Definition and examples of informal learning in an online environment; overview of social networking websites and how they are being incorporated in the classroom; review of Web-based communication tools
On the Road	Definition of mobile learning; overview of mobile devices; trends in and applications of mobile learning in the classroom; exploration of future technologies that may impact education

Course Assessments and Links to Institutional Outcomes and Course Outcomes

Throughout the course, the learner will be assessed and evaluated on the completion of the following assessments. Learning activities include whole-group and small-group discussions and assessments for a total of 617 points.

Modules	Topics of Modules	Points	Correlations With Course Outcomes
Module 1:	Generational Traits and Education Overview	50	1, 2, 14, 15, 16
Module 2:	Overcoming Obstacles to Change	50	1, 2, 5, 14, 15, 16
Module 3:	Evolving Roles	55	1, 2, 5, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16
Module 4:	Teaching Methods	55	2, 3, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
Module 5:	Genuine Learning	72	2, 3, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16
Module 6:	Game On	50	3, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
Module 7:	Interactive Education	100	3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
Module 8:	Social Learning	95	3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
Module 9:	On the Road	90	3, 4, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
	Total	**617**	

Criteria specific to each assessment will be explained in conjunction with the instructional activities.

Instructional Methodology

The instructional methodology of this course focuses on developing, enhancing, and improving the instructional expertise and pedagogical knowledge base of practicing educators. Strategies include presentation of new content through online readings, active construction of knowledge through practice and problem solving, collaborative group work, personal reflection, structured small-group or whole-class discussion, analysis of assigned reading, and the application of course content and skills to participant's individual grade level, subject area(s), and classroom.

Grading Scale

The course facilitator will post the college-specific grading scale.

PLS 3rd Learning's Late Policy

There will be a 10% deduction of points per day for all posts and submitted assignments that are late. Replies posted after the due date will earn no points. In rare cases, partially or poorly completed assignments may be resubmitted for partial credit at the discretion of the instructor. The following exceptions apply:

- If a participant is sick/hospitalized or has a death in the family, the timing of makeup work may be arranged with the course facilitator. No points will be deducted if the work is completed according to the agreement.

- If a participant is on vacation/traveling/etc., the participant must contact the course facilitator ahead of time to avoid a penalty. This type of absence may occur only once during a course. All posts should be submitted for the missed module before leaving.
- If a participant has difficulty completing everything in a week, an extension can be granted if the participant contacts the facilitator during the week (not at the last minute).

PLS 3rd Learning's Participant Drop Policy
- Participants are eligible to receive a refund if they attend class for one week or less. This means participants must withdraw by the end of Module 1 to receive a refund.
- Refunds of the balance of tuition paid will be given, minus the $50 deposit.

PLS 3rd Learning's Academic Integrity Policy
PLS 3rd Learning expects absolute academic honesty and integrity from every course participant. The specific Academic Integrity and Honor Code Policies of our partner colleges and universities are embraced and enforced by PLS 3rd Learning instructors. The following are considered to be serious violations:

- Plagiarism: the use of another's ideas, data, or words without proper acknowledgement.
- Fabrication: the use of invented information or the falsification of research or other findings with the intent to deceive.
- Collusion: improper collaboration with another in preparing assignments or projects.
- Cheating: an act of deception by which a student misrepresents that he or she has mastered information on an academic exercise that he or she has not mastered.
- Academic Misconduct: tampering with grades, or taking part in obtaining or distributing any part of student work that is not his or her own.

Violation or suspected violation will be investigated and pursued according to specific college/university procedures.

Identity Authentication
The college/university, PLS 3rd Learning, and students share a joint responsibility to ensure that each student's contribution in an online course activity comes from that student alone. For the student, this responsibility has two parts:

1. Students are responsible for positively ensuring that every contribution to an online course created with the student's computer account is made by the student alone. Contributions covered under this policy include: written assignments; quiz and exam submissions; discussion forum postings; live participation in text-based chat sessions, phone conferences, and videoconferences. If a student allows another person to write or make any kind of submission to an online activity in the student's name, then this constitutes cheating and will be treated as a violation of academic honesty.
2. Students are responsible for ensuring the integrity of their computer account security by following the actions required of them by the PLS 3rd Learning

Acceptable Use Policy. These actions include keeping passcodes private, updating passcodes when required by PLS 3rd Learning, and reporting breaches of the security policy to the IT Helpdesk.

Course Evaluation

The evaluation of learner work will be based on the defined criteria for learner assessments. The criteria for learner assessments will be outlined for students prior to instructional activities and engagement with student learning targets (outcomes). Grading is based solely on the evaluation of student learning targets and defined criteria for learner assessments.

Formative assessment of learning outcomes is conducted throughout the course, using a variety of means that include the following: completion of assessments; constructive contributions to class discussions (whole-class as well as small-group); sharing of valuable, pertinent, and/or applicable ideas and experiences; and active participation in online interactions. It is expected that each participant will contribute to the academic quality of the course.

Summative assessment includes the completion of weekly learning activities and assignments for which the participant will need to synthesize class content, apply it to his or her own practice, and complete a plan for implementing the major components of content and skill acquired during the course.

Alignments to Charlotte Danielson's *Framework for Teaching*

Each PLS 3rd Learning course is aligned to the components in Charlotte Danielson's *Framework for Teaching.* The alignments for this course are listed below.

DOMAIN 1: PLANNING AND PREPARATION
1A. Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy
1B. Demonstrating Knowledge of Students
1C. Setting Instructional Outcomes
1D. Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources
1E. Designing Coherent Instruction

DOMAIN 2: THE CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT
2A. Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport
2B. Establishing a Culture for Learning
2D. Managing Student Behavior

DOMAIN 3: INSTRUCTION
3A. Communicating with Students
3B. Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques
3C. Engaging Students in Learning
3E. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness

DOMAIN 4: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES
4A. Reflecting on Teaching
4D. Participating in a Professional Community
4E. Growing and Developing Professionally
4F. Showing Professionalism

Course Outcome Correlations With Model Core Teaching Standards (InTASC)

Course Outcomes

Standard 1: Learner Development

The teacher understands how learners grow and develop, recognizing that patterns of learning and development vary individually within and across the cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas, and designs and implements developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.

1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14

Standard 2: Learning Differences

The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards.

1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14

Standard 3: Learning Environments

The teacher works with others to create environments that support individual and collaborative learning, and that encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self motivation.

1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14

Standard 4: Content Knowledge

The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches and creates learning experiences that make these aspects of the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13

Standard 5: Application of Content

The teacher understands how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues.

2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16

Standard 6: Assessment

The teacher understands and uses multiple methods of assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learner progress, and to guide the teacher's and learner's decision making.

3, 6, 7, 9, 13

Standard 7: Planning for Instruction

The teacher plans instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross-disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context.

1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16

Standard 8: Instructional Strategies

The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop deep understanding of content areas and their connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.

2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14

Standard 9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice

The teacher engages in ongoing professional learning and uses evidence to continually evaluate his/her practice, particularly the effects of his/her choices and actions on others (learners, families, other professionals, and the community), and adapts practice to meet the needs of each learner.

1, 2, 5, 15, 16

Standard 10: Leadership and Collaboration

The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning, to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues, other school professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth, and to advance the profession.

2, 4, 5, 15, 16

Course Outcome Correlations With National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS) Five Core Propositions

Proposition 1: Teachers are Committed to Students and Their Learning.	Course Outcomes
NBCTs are dedicated to making knowledge accessible to all students. They believe all students can learn.	**1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14**
They treat students equitably. They recognize the individual differences that distinguish their students from one another and they take account for these differences in their practice.	**1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14**
NBCTs understand how students develop and learn.	**1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14**
They respect the cultural and family differences students bring to their classroom.	**1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 14**
They are concerned with their students' self-concept, their motivation and the effects of learning on peer relationships.	**2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14**
NBCTs are also concerned with the development of character and civic responsibility.	**1, 2, 9, 10, 11**
Proposition 2: Teachers Know the Subjects They Teach and How to Teach Those Subjects to Students.	
NBCTs have mastery over the subject(s) they teach. They have a deep understanding of the history, structure and real-world applications of the subject.	**2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14**
They have skill and experience in teaching it, and they are very familiar with the skills gaps and preconceptions students may bring to the subject.	**2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14**
They are able to use diverse instructional strategies to teach for understanding.	**1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14**
Proposition 3: Teachers are Responsible for Managing and Monitoring Student Learning.	
NBCTs deliver effective instruction. They move fluently through a range of instructional techniques, keeping students motivated, engaged and focused.	**1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14**

They know how to engage students to ensure a disciplined learning environment, and how to organize instruction to meet instructional goals.	**1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14**
NBCTs know how to assess the progress of individual students as well as the class as a whole.	**2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14**
They use multiple methods for measuring student growth and understanding, and they can clearly explain student performance to parents.	**2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14**

Proposition 4: Teachers Think Systematically about Their Practice and Learn from Experience.

NBCTs model what it means to be an educated person – they read, they question, they create and they are willing to try new things.	**2, 5, 6, 14, 15, 16**
They are familiar with learning theories and instructional strategies and stay abreast of current issues in American education.	**1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16**
They critically examine their practice on a regular basis to deepen knowledge, expand their repertoire of skills, and incorporate new findings into their practice.	**1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16**

Proposition 5: Teachers are Members of Learning Communities.

NBCTs collaborate with others to improve student learning.	**2, 4, 5, 16**
They are leaders and actively know how to seek and build partnerships with community groups and businesses.	**5, 15, 16**
They work with other professionals on instructional policy, curriculum development and staff development.	**2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16**
They can evaluate school progress and the allocation of resources in order to meet state and local education objectives.	**2, 4, 5, 16**
They know how to work collaboratively with parents to engage them productively in the work of the school.	**2, 4, 5, 16**

Bibliography

Carlson, A. (2002). *Authentic learning: What does it really mean?* Retrieved May 30, 2012, from http://pandora.cii.wwu.edu/showcase2001/authentic_learning.asp

Carlson, S. (2005, October 7). *The net generation goes to college*. Retrieved April 20, 2012, from http://chronicle.com/free/v52/i07/07a03401.htm

Cisco. (Producer). (2012). *Katy ISD: A new vision for mobile learning*. [Web Video]. Retrieved July 8, 2012, from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LkiRWTJYPS0

Committee on Academic Programs and Teaching Learner-Centered Task Force. (2006). *Inventory of learner-centered teaching at USC*. Retrieved May 30, 2012, from http://cet.usc.edu/resources/teaching_learning/docs/CAPT_Inventory_Final_Draft31.pdf

Common Core State Standards Initiative. (2012). *Mission statement*. Retrieved May 30, 2012, from http://www.corestandards.org/

Corbeil, J. R., & Valdes-Corbeil, M. E. (2007). Are you ready for mobile learning? *EDUCAUSE Quarterly, 30*(2). Retrieved July 8, 2012, from http://connect.educause.edu/library/abstract/AreYouReadyforMobile/40029

Cross, J. (2008). Interview by We_magazine [Web Based Recording]. Jay Cross on informal learning. Retrieved May 31, 2012, from http://www.we-magazine.net/2008/12/06/jay-cross-on-informal-learning/

Davidson, H. (Producer). (2008). *Copyright for educators: Multimedia episode 5*. [Web Video]. Retrieved June 27, 2012 from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kKsZItnZVPg&feature=relmfu

Davis, V. A. (2006). *How I use wikis.* Retrieved June 25, 2012, from http://coolcatteacher.blogspot.com/2006/08/how-i-use-wikis-what-do-you-do.html

Entertainment Software Association. (2012). *2012 sales, demographics, and usage data: Essential facts about the computer and video game industry*. Retrieved June 12, 2012, from http://www.theesa.com/facts/pdfs/ESA_EF_2012.pdf

Farmer, L. (2007). *Empowering teenage girls through technology.* Proceedings from the World Library and Information Congress: 73rd IFLA General Conference and Council. Retrieved May 15, 2012, from http://archive.ifla.org/IV/ifla73/papers/149-Farmer-en.pdf

Federal Communications Commission. (2001). *Children's Internet protection act guide*. Retrieved May 16, 2012, from http://www.fcc.gov/guides/childrens-internet-protection-act

Geck, C. (2006, February 19). *The generation z connection: Teaching information literacy to the newest net generation*. Retrieved May 22, 2012, from http://www.redorbit.com/news/technology/397034/the_generation_z_connection_teaching_information_literacy_to_the_newest/

Gee, J. P. (2007). *What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy*. (2nd ed.). New York: Macmillan.

Grosseck, G., & Holotescu, C. (2008, April). *Can we use Twitter for educational activities?* Paper presented at the 4th International Scientific Conference: eLearning and Software for Education, Bucharest, Romania. Retrieved July 5, 2012, from http://www.cblt.soton.ac.uk/multimedia/PDFsMM09/Can we use twitter for educational activities.pdf

Hart, M. (2012). *The ever-expanding school day*. Retrieved May 31, 2012, from
    http://thejournal.com/articles/2012/04/18/the-ever-expanding-school-day.aspx

Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2009). *Preventing cyberbullying: Top ten tips for educators*.
    Retrieved May 16, 2012, from
    http://www.cyberbullying.us/Top_Ten_Tips_Educators_Cyberbullying_Prevention.pdf

Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (1997). *Cultures and organizations: Software of
    the mind*. (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

International Society for Technology in Education. (2011). *NETS-T standards for teachers*.
    Retrieved May 22, 2012, from http://www.iste.org/Libraries/PDFs/NETS-
    T_Standards.sflb.ashx

Jordan, L. (2009). *Blogging with students: How and why*. [Web Video]. Retrieved June 27,
    2012, from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9T89bC3QF9g&feature=related

Kadle, A. (2010). *Five mobile learning implementation tips*. Retrieved July 9, 2012, from
    http://www.upsidelearning.com/blog/index.php/2010/03/01/five-mobile-learning-
    implementation-tips/

Kapp, K. M. (2007). *Gadgets, games and gizmos for learning: Tools and techniques for
    transferring know-how from boomer to gamers*. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons.

Koebler, J. (2012, April 11). White House report: More women need to study STEM. *U.S.
    News & World Report*. Retrieved May 15, 2012 from
    http://www.usnews.com/news/blogs/stem-education/2012/04/11/white-house-report-
    more-women-need-to-study-stem

Leblance, P. R., & Lacey, C. H. (2009, November 20). *Teaching the net generation:
    Strategies and skills*. Retrieved April 24, 2012, from
    http://www.seenmagazine.us/articles/article-detail/articleid/225/teaching-the-net-
    generation-strategies-and-skills.aspx

Lenhart, A., Madden, M., Smith, A., Purcell, K., Zickuhr, K., & Rainie, L. (2011, November
    9). *Teens, kindness and cruelty on social network sites*. Retrieved April 24, 2012, from
    http://pewinternet.org/~/media//Files/Reports/2011/PIP_Teens_Kindness_Cruelty_SN
    S_Report_Nov_2011_FINAL_110711.pdf

Lenhart, A., Purcell, K., Smith, A., & Zickuhr, K. (2010). *Social media and young adults*.
    Retrieved July 2, 2012, from http://pewinternet.org/Reports/2010/Social-Media-and-
    Young-Adults.aspx

Lombardi, M. M. (2007). *Authentic learning for the 21st century: An overview*. Retrieved
    May 30, 2012, from http://net.educause.edu/ir/library/pdf/ELI3009.pdf

MacArthur Foundation. (2010). *Rethinking learning: The 21st century learner*. [Web Video].
    Retrieved May 29, 2012, from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c0xa98cy-Rw

Makice, K. (2007, November 17). *A brief history of microblogging*. Retrieved July 5, 2012,
    from http://www.blogschmog.net/2007/11/17/a-brief-history-of-microblogging/

McGonigal, J. (2011). *Reality is broken: Why games make us better and how they can
    change the world*. New York: Penguin Group.

National School Boards Association. (2007). *Creating & connecting: Research and guidelines
    on online social and educational networking*. Retrieved July 2, 2012, from
    http://socialnetworking.procon.org/sourcefiles/CreateandConnect.pdf

Osborne, C. (2012). *The pros and cons of social media classrooms*. Retrieved July 2, 2012,

from http://www.zdnet.com/blog/igeneration/the-pros-and-cons-of-social-media-classrooms/15132

Pew Research Center's Internet & American Life Project. (Producer). (2010). *Four or more: The new demographic*. [Web Graphic]. Retrieved April 20, 2012, from http://www.slideshare.net/PewInternet/presidents-program-four-or-more-the-new-demographic

Prensky, M. (2010). *Teaching digital natives: Partnering for real learning*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

Royal Society for the Encouragement of Arts, Manufactures and Commerce. (2010). *RSA animate: Changing education paradigms*. [Web Video]. Retrieved April 24, 2012, from http://www.thersa.org/events/video/animate/rsa-animate-changing-paradigms

Salen, K., & Zimmerman, E. (2004). Rules of play: Game design fundamentals. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

Sams, A. (Producer), & Bergmann, J. (Producer) (2010). *The flipped classroom* [Web Video]. Retrieved May 31, 2012, from http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2H4RkudFzlc&feature=player_embedded

Shreve, J. (2005). *Let the games begin: Entertainment meets education*. Retrieved June 15, 2012, from http://www.edutopia.org/let-games-begin

Southwood, D. (2007). *It's not just a game: It's skills for life*. Retrieved from http://www.techlearning.com/ideas-and-opinions/0021/its-not-just-a-game—its-skills-for-life/44605

Special needs. (2012). In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved November 26, 2012, from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Special_needs

Tomlinson, C. A. (2011). Every teacher a coach. *Educational Leadership*, *69*(2), 92–93. Retrieved May 30, 2012, from http://www.ascd.org/publications/educational-leadership/oct11/vol69/num02/Every-Teacher-a-Coach.aspx

United States Copyright Office. (2009). Fair use. Retrieved June 27, 2012, from: http://www.copyright.gov/fls/fl102.html

U.S. Department of Commerce, National Telecommunications and Information Administration. (2011). *Digital nation: Expanding Internet usage*. Retrieved May 4, 2012, from http://www.ntia.doc.gov/files/ntia/publications/ntia_internet_use_report_february_2011.pdf

U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. (2012). *What is cyberbullying*. Retrieved May 16, 2012, from http://www.stopbullying.gov/cyberbullying/what-is-it/index.html

Wiggins, G. P., & McTighe, J. (2005). *Understanding by design*. (2nd ed.). Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Zichermann, G. (Performer). (2011). *How games make kids smarter*. [Web Video]. Retrieved November 28, 2012, from http://www.ted.com/talks/gabe_zichermann_how_games_make_kids_smarter.html